Abstract. Novel styrylquinoline methacrylic monomers and their copolymers with methylmethacrylate (MMA) are presented. The polymerization was carried out in DMF with AIBN as initiator. The products of polymerization were characterized by \(^1\)H NMR. The monomer reactivity ratios for the homogeneous free-radical copolymerization of styrylquinoline monomers and MMA were determined from \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy data and estimated with the Fineman-Ross (FR) and calculation methods.
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1. Introduction

In the recent years, the development of modern technologies enables one to create polymer materials with a number of properties which would be impossible to realize in a single polymer. The side chain methacrylic polymers with different active functional group have been well studied and widely applied in many fields, such as: optoelectronics, nonlinear optics, optical storage materials, biochemicals and medicine. Thus, creation of these polymers is one of topical problems of modern polymer chemistry. Photopolymers are studied for their macromolecular properties and for the properties of the photosensitive group. Among them, polymers having photochromic dyes, such as azo, cinnamoyls, chalcones, coumarins, spirooxazines, diarylethenes, etc., represent an active field of research in polymer science because of their technological applications in the fields of photolithography, nonlinear optical materials, liquid crystalline materials, and holographic elements [1-5].

The styryl dyes with quinoline nucleus were applied to various sensitive materials such as sensitizers or desensitizers formerly. With the development of new technologies, the researchers have found a new application of styrylquinoline dyes to electroluminescence [6] and photochromism [7, 8] as well as in the field of medication [9-13].

The copolymer composition and comonomers units distribution depend on monomer reactivity ratios. The most common mathematical model of copolymerization is based on finding the relationship between the composition of copolymers and the composition of the monomer feed, in which the monomer-reactivity ratios are the parameters to be determined [14]. The calculation of the monomer-reactivity ratios requires the mathematical treatment of experimental data on the composition of copolymers and monomer in feed mixtures. The most fundamental value characterizing a copolymer is its composition on a molar basis, which is eventually used for the determination of the relevant monomer reactivity ratios. Spectroscopic methods, preferably \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy, and elemental analysis are probably the most widely used methods for the analysis of copolymers and the determination of reactivity ratios.

The objective of this work is to investigate the polymerization ability and reactivity ratios of 8-methacryloyloxy styrylquinoline. This paper describes synthesis of polymers by free radical homo- and copolymerization of 8-methacryloyloxy styrylquinoline with MMA initiated by AIBN.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

MMA, ethanol, methanol, \(N, N\)-dimethylformamide (DMF), tetrahydrofuran (THF), were distilled before use [15], methacryloyl chloride, azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) and 2-methylquinolin-8-ol were used without further purification.

2.2. Measurements

\(^1\)H NMR spectra were obtained on a “Mercury” 400MHz Bruker, using CDCl\(_3\), DMSO-d\(_6\) as the solvents and tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Infrared spectra were recorded on Perkin Elmer BX with KBr.
2.3. Synthesis of 2-Styrylquinoline-8-ol Derivatives

\[ \text{Scheme 1. Synthesis of 2-styrylquinoline-8-ol derivatives} \]

2-(2-[4-methoxyethyl]quinolin-8-yl acetate (1a). A flask was charged with a mixture of 8-hydroxy-2-methylquinoline (3.02 g, 18.99 mmol), 4-methoxy-benzaldehyde (5.2 g, 38.29 mmol) and acetic anhydride (20 ml). It was refluxed and heated at 413 K for 14 h (TCL monitoring). The solid residue was recrystallized from ethanol, yield 58 %. Mp 397–398 K. The same procedure as for benzaldehyde. The solid residue was recrystallized from ethanol and filtered. Yellow crystals, yield 94 %. Mp 378 K. 1H NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d$_6$), δ, ppm: 7.32 (s, 3H, –CH$_3$), 7.40 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 (m, 1H, =CH–), 7.54 (t, 1H, Het), 7.56 (m, 1H, =CH–), 7.79 (m, 1H, Het), 7.85 (m, 1H, =CH–), 7.89 (m, 1H, –OCH$_3$), 8.00 (d, 1H, =CH=). IR (cm$^{-1}$, the most characteristic bands): 1727, 1590, 1557, 1312, 976.

2-(2-[4-nitrophenyl]ethylyl)quinolin-8-ol (1b). The same procedure as for 1a was used. 4-nitro-benzaldehyde. The solid residue was recrystallized from ethanol and filtered. Yellow crystals, yield 87 %. Mp 473 K. 1H NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d$_6$), δ, ppm: 7.03 (d, 1H, Het), 7.27 (m, 1H, Het), 7.30–7.34 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.38 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.41 (m, 1H, =CH–), 7.42 (m, 1H, Het), 7.67–7.70 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.72 (m, 1H, Het), 8.07 (d, 1H, =CH–), 8.20 (d, 1H, Het), 9.17 (s, 1H, –OH).

2-(2-phenylethyl)quinolin-8-ol (2a). The same procedure as for 1a was used. Yellow-orange crystals, yield 87 %. Mp 437 K. 1H NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d$_6$), δ, ppm: 7.05 (d, 1H, Het), 7.29 (d, 1H, Het), 7.36 (t, 1H, Het), 7.65 (d, 1H, =CH–), 7.73 (d, 1H, Het), 7.92 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 8.21 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 8.25 (m, 1H, =CH–), 8.28 (m, 1H, Het), 9.25 (s, 1H, –OH).

2-(2-[4-nitrophenoxy]ethylyl)quinolin-8-ol (2b). A substance of 1a was used. 4-nitro-benzaldehyde. The solid residue was recrystallized from ethanol and filtered. Yellow crystals, yield 94 %. Mp 378 K. 1H NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d$_6$), δ, ppm: 7.32 (s, 3H, –CH$_3$), 7.40 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 (m, 1H, =CH–), 7.54 (t, 1H, Het), 7.68 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.74 (m, 1H, =CH–), 7.78 (m, 1H, Het), 7.83 (d, 2H, Het), 8.31 (d, 1H, Het).

2-(2-[4-nitrophenyl]ethylyl)quinolin-8-yl acetate (1c). The same procedure as for 1a was used for 4-nitro-benzaldehyde. The solid residue was recrystallized from ethanol and filtered. Yellow crystals, yield 92 %. Mp 444–445 K. 1H NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d$_6$), δ, ppm: 7.32 (s, 3H, –CH$_3$), 7.26 (m, 1H, Het), 7.36 (m, 1H, Het), 7.40 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 (m, 1H, =CH–), 7.50 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.68 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.74 (m, 1H, =CH–), 7.78 (m, 1H, Het), 7.83 (d, 2H, Het), 8.31 (d, 1H, Het).

2-(2-[4-methoxyphenyl]ethylyl)quinolin-8-ol (2a). The solution of 1a (3.5 g, 11.07 mmol) in ethanol (100 ml) and concentrated hydrochloric acid (20 ml) was refluxed for 2 h. The orange precipitate was filtered and washed thoroughly with water. It was subsequently dissolved in ethanol (50 ml) and triethylamine (17 ml) was added and stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The ice-cold water was added and the precipitate was filtered, washed with water and dried to afford 2a as a bright yellow powder. It was purified by recrystallization from ethanol. Yellow crystals, yield 86 %. Mp 388 K. 1H NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d$_6$), δ, ppm: 3.83 (s, 3H, –OCH$_3$), 6.95 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 7.62 (d, 2H, Ar-H); 7.26 (d, 1H, =CH–), 8.00 (d, 1H, =CH=), 7.03 (d, 1H, Het), 7.22 (m, 1H, Het), 7.34 (t, 1H, Het), 7.67 (d, 1H, Het), 8.16 (d, 1H, Het). IR (cm$^{-1}$, the most characteristic bands): 1727, 1590, 1557, 1312, 976.

2-(2-[4-methoxyphenyl]ethylyl)quinolin-8-ol (2b). A substance of 2a was used. 4-methoxy-benzaldehyde. The solid residue was recrystallized from ethanol and filtered. Yellow crystals, yield 86 %. Mp 388 K. 1H NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d$_6$), δ, ppm: 7.32 (s, 3H, –CH$_3$), 7.40 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 (m, 1H, =CH–), 7.54 (t, 1H, Het), 7.56 (m, 1H, =CH–), 7.79 (m, 1H, Het), 7.85 (m, 1H, =CH–), 7.89 (m, 1H, –OCH$_3$), 8.00 (d, 1H, =CH=), 8.20 (d, 1H, Het), 9.17 (s, 1H, –OH).

2-(2-[4-nitrophenyl]ethylyl)quinolin-8-ol (2c). The same procedure as for 2a was used. 4-nitro-benzaldehyde. The solid residue was recrystallized from ethanol and filtered. Yellow crystals, yield 93 %. Mp 397 K. 1H NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d$_6$), δ, ppm: 7.32 (s, 3H, –CH$_3$), 7.40 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.42 (m, 1H, =CH–), 7.54 (t, 1H, Het), 7.68 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.74 (m, 1H, =CH–), 7.78 (m, 1H, Het), 7.83 (d, 2H, Het), 8.31 (d, 1H, Het).

2.4. Synthesis of 8-Methacryloyloxy Styrylquinolines (MASTQ)

\[ \text{Scheme 2. Synthesis of 8-methacryloyloxy styrylquinolines} \]

2-(2-[4-methoxyphenyl]ethylyl)quinolin-8-yl 2-methylpropyl-2-enolate (3a). A substance of 2a (2.6 g, 9.3 mmol) was dissolved in THF (10 ml) and triethylamine (1.48 ml) was added. The solution was kept in an ice bath. The methacryloyl chloride (1 ml, 9.3 mmol) was added slowly to the reaction mixture. After the addition of methacryloyl chloride, solution was stirred for 4 h in an ice bath and then poured into ice-cold water. The light-yellow powder was collected by filtration, washed with water and dried. The product was recrystallized from toluene, yield 51 %. Mp 366 K. 1H NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d$_6$), δ, ppm: 2.21 (s, 3H, –CH$_3$), 3.82 (s, 3H, –OCH$_3$), 6.50 (s, 1H, CH$_2$–), 5.95 (s, 1H, CH$_2$–), 6.91 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 7.54 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.49 (m, 1H, =CH–), 7.69 (m, 1H, =CH=), 7.15 (d, 1H, Het), 7.45 (m, 1H, Het), 7.66 (m, 1H, Het), 7.76 (d, 1H, Het), 8.25 (d, 1H, Het). IR (cm$^{-1}$, the most characteristic bands): 1727, 1590, 1557, 1312, 976.
2-(2-phenylethenyl)quinolin-8-yl 2-methylpropyl-2-enoate (3b). The light yellow solid, yield 46%. Mp 363 K. $^1$H NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d$_6$), δ, ppm: 2.30 (s, 3H, –CH$_3$), 5.94 (s, 1H, =CH$_2$), 6.50 (s, 1H, =CH$_2$), 7.30 (d, 1H Het), 7.34 (d, 1H Het), 7.39 (t, 1H, Het), 7.46 (d, 1H, =CH$_2$), 7.52 (t, 1H, Ar-H), 7.59 (d, 2H, Ar-H), 7.71 (m, 1H, =CH$_2$–), 7.75 (t, 1H, Ar-H), 7.79 (m, 1H, Het), 8.30 (d, 1H, Het). IR (cm$^{-1}$, the most characteristic bands): 1735, 1599, 1559, 1318, 972.

2-[2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethenyl]quinolin-8-yl 2-methylpropyl-2-enoate (3c). The yellow solid, yield 70%, Mp 432 K. $^1$H NMR (400 Hz, DMSO-d$_6$), δ, ppm: 2.30 (s, 3H, –CH$_3$), 5.94 (s, 1H, =CH$_2$), 6.47 (s, 1H, =CH$_2$), 7.48 (d, 1H Het), 7.55 (m, 2H, 7-H, Het), 7.59 (m, 1H, =CH$_2$–), 7.80-7.84 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 7.88 (m, 1H, Het), 8.22 (d, 1H, =CH$_2$–), 8.39 (d, 1H, Het). IR (cm$^{-1}$, the most characteristic bands): 1735, 1592, 1508, 1318, 978.

2.4. Free Radical Polymerization

The synthesis of homopolymers and copolymers based on methacrylic monomers (3a-3c, respectively) and methylmethacrylate (MMA) was conducted in 10% DMF solution with AIBN as radical initiator at 353 K (argon atmosphere). The mixture was degassed with repeated freeze and three cycles and then heated for 5–15 min. The polymerization was stopped by pouring the reaction mixture into methanol. The precipitation was repeated from DMF into methanol to get purified polymers. Synthetic route and chemical structures of the styrylquinoline containing copolymers are shown in Scheme 3.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of Copolymers

The $^1$H NMR spectra of poly(MASTQ), poly(MMA), and MASTQ–MMA copolymers. The peaks at 6.9–8.35 ppm reveal the aromatic and heterocyclic protons of monomer units, and the signal at 3.8 ppm is assigned to methyl adjacent to ester oxygen in MMA units. The other signals, 1.1–2.2 ppm, are due to the methyl and methylene protons of the main backbone in MASTQ and MMA units. The $^1$H NMR technique is well established as a simple rapid, and accurate method for the determination of copolymer composition. The assignment of the resonance peaks in $^1$H NMR spectrum allows for the accurate evaluation of the content of each kind of monomeric unit incorporated intensities into the copolymer chain. Thus, the mole fraction of MASTQ in the polymer chains were calculated from integrated intensities of aromatic protons of MASTQ and aliphatic protons MMA units. The following expression applies to copolymers.

3.2. Monomer Reactivity Ratios

The free radical copolymerization of various ratios MASTQ and MMA initiated by AIBN has been carried out at 353 K. The copolymer compositions to determine monomer reactivity ratios were analyzed by $^1$H NMR spectra. Thus, the mole fractions of MASTQ and MMA in the copolymer were determined from the ratio of the integral intensities of aromatic and –CH=CH– protons in MASTQ units, at 6.9–8.35 ppm (11H – for 3a and 3c, 12H – for 3b), and methyl protons adjacent to oxygen at 3.8 ppm for MMA units. For example, the mole fraction of 3c:MMA in copolymer have been calculated from the following equation:

$$3c$:MMA = \frac{\text{Integral intensites at 6.9-8.35 ppm}}{11} \div \frac{\text{Integral intensites at 3.8 ppm}}{3}$ (1)

For the copolymerization the behaviors of the system were evaluated through plots of the copolymer composition Fig. 1. The composition of copolymer obtained from the copolymerization technique showed azetropic composition at $F_1 = 0.4–0.5$. The monomer reactivity ratios, the content of the reaction mixture and the copolymer were calculated according to the FR and calculations methods.

![Scheme 3. Synthesis of the styrylquinoline containing copolymers](image-url)
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>$f = M_1/M_2$</th>
<th>$F = m_1/m_2$</th>
<th>$f^<em>/(1-f)^</em>/(1-F)/F$</th>
<th>$f(1-2F)/(1-f)F$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.2256</td>
<td>0.3434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.3794</td>
<td>0.2778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.0408</td>
<td>0.0408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>2.7287</td>
<td>-0.5355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>5.0625</td>
<td>-1.3125</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: $M_1$ is mole fraction of 3a in reaction mixture; $M_2$ is mole fraction of MMA in reaction mixture; $m_1$ is mole fraction of 3a in copolymer; $m_2$ is mole fraction of MMA in copolymer.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>$f = M_1/M_2$</th>
<th>$F = m_1/m_2$</th>
<th>$f^<em>/(1-f)^</em>/(1-F)/F$</th>
<th>$f(1-2F)/(1-f)F$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.2720</td>
<td>0.4828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.4505</td>
<td>0.4222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.2222</td>
<td>0.2222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>3.2099</td>
<td>-0.2876</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>6.0000</td>
<td>-1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: $M_1$ is mole fraction of 3b in reaction mixture; $M_2$ is mole fraction of MMA in reaction mixture; $m_1$ is mole fraction of 3b in copolymer; $m_2$ is mole fraction of MMA in copolymer.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>$f = M_1/M_2$</th>
<th>$F = m_1/m_2$</th>
<th>$f^<em>/(1-f)^</em>/(1-F)/F$</th>
<th>$f(1-2F)/(1-f)F$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.2361</td>
<td>0.3750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.3958</td>
<td>0.3111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.0833</td>
<td>0.0833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>2.7852</td>
<td>-0.5064</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: $M_1$ is mole fraction of 3c in reaction mixture; $M_2$ is mole fraction of MMA in reaction mixture; $m_1$ is mole fraction of 3c in copolymer; $m_2$ is mole fraction of MMA in copolymer.

![Fig. 1. Composition diagrams of the free radical copolymerization of 3a (▲); 3b (▼) and 3c (●) with MMA](image1)

![Fig. 2. Fineman-Ross plots for the free-radical copolymerization of 3a (a), 3b (b), 3c (c) with MMA](image2)
Reactivity and Polymerization Ability of Styrylquinoline Containing Methacrylic Monomers

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MASTQ</th>
<th>Fineman-Ross method</th>
<th>Calculation method</th>
<th>( \frac{r_1}{r_2} )</th>
<th>( \frac{1}{r_2} )</th>
<th>( Q )</th>
<th>( e )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.255±0.004</td>
<td>0.547±0.014</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.327±0.004</td>
<td>0.444±0.006</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.339±0.004</td>
<td>0.411±0.011</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The FR parameters were calculated for free radical copolymerization of MASTQ (3a, 3b, 3c) and MMA, and the results were summarized in Tables 1–3. It is well known that monomer reactivity ratios can be indicative of relative reactivity of comonomers. In order to estimate the relative reactivity ratio of MASTQ and MMA in free radical copolymerization FR [14, 16] the next equation have been used:

\[
y = r_2 - r_1 \cdot x
\]

where \( x = \frac{f^2(1-f)^2}{F^2(1-F)^2} \) and \( y = f(1-2F)(1-f)/F \), respectively.

From the slope and intercept of the straight line, the monomer reactivity ratios of MASTQ and MMA were determined and found to be \( r_1 = 0.34, r_2 = 0.41 \) for 3a monomer; \( r_1 = 0.26, r_2 = 0.55 \) for 3b monomer; \( r_1 = 0.33, r_2 = 0.44 \) for 3c monomer (Fig. 2).

The copolymer composition equation has the form

\[
y = r_1 \cdot x + r_2 \quad \text{in the calculations method for the determination of } r_1 \text{ and } r_2.
\]

\[
\frac{[M_1]}{[M_2]} \cdot \left( \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right)_{-1} = -r_1 \left( \frac{[M_1]}{[M_2]} \right)^2 + r_2 \quad \text{(3)}
\]

where \( y = [M_1]/[M_2] \cdot ([m_1]/[m_2] - 1) \) and \( x = ([M_1]/[M_2])^2 \cdot [m_2]/[m_1] \).

The copolymerization parameters of monomers \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) determined using the calculations method are presented in Table 4. However, the monomer reactivity ratios determined by calculation methods almost completely coincide with the ratios previously determined by the FR method.

It is well known that Price and Alfrey developed a simple scheme \( (Q-e) \) to predict reactivity ratios of monomers participating in a free radical copolymerization [14]. From the \( Q-e \) scheme the resonance stabilization parameter \( Q \) and electronegativity parameter \( e \) were calculated. The \( Q-e \) scheme postulates that the reactivity ratio \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) can be expressed by Eqs. (4) and (5):

\[
r_1 = \frac{Q_2}{Q_1} \exp[-e_1(e_1 - e_2)] \quad \text{(4)}
\]

\[
r_2 = \frac{Q_2}{Q_1} \exp[-e_2(e_2 - e_1)] \quad \text{(5)}
\]

The \( Q-e \) values of MMA have been found in the literature [17] to be the following \( Q = 0.74, e = 0.4 \). The \( Q-e \) values of 3a, 3b, 3c have been found to be \( Q = 3.16, e = 1.8 \) for 3a; \( Q = 2.37, e = 1.8 \) for 3b; \( Q = 2.91, e = 1.79 \) for 3c. The monomer reactivity ratios and copolymerization parameters are presented in Table 4.

The values of parameter \( r_1 \) illustrate similar values obtained with using two different methods. These values can be explained by insufficient influence of substituent effect.

The values of reverse constants \( 1/r_2 \) were calculated, all three new monomers can join the radical of MMA actively, moreover, the most active is monomer with methoxy group. The similar and low values of \( r_1/r_2 \) illustrate the common tendency of MASTQ to interchange with links of MMA. The values of \( Q \) parameters have correlated with the values of \( 1/r_2 \), and the values of the polar factor \( e \) have been almost identical for all monomers.

4. Conclusions

Copolymers of MASTQ with MMA were prepared by free radical polymerization. The monomer reactivity ratios for MASTQ-MMA system were calculated from the feed composition and copolymer composition determined by \(^1\) \text{H NMR} spectroscopy. The monomer reactivity ratios of MASTQ and MMA were computed using Fineman-Ross (F-R) and calculation methods (in the brackets) and were found to be \( r_1 = 0.339(0.34), r_2 = 0.41(0.411) \), \( Q = 3.16, e = 1.8 \) for monomer with CH\(_2\)O group; \( r_1 = 0.26(0.255), r_2 = 0.55(0.547) \), \( Q = 2.37, e = 1.8 \) for 2-styrylquinolinol-8-ol methacrylate; \( r_1 = 0.33(0.327), r_2 = 0.44(0.444) \), \( Q = 2.91, e = 1.79 \) for monomer with NO\(_2\) group.
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РЕАКЦІЙНА ТА ПОЛІМЕРИЗАЦІЙНА ЗДАТНІСТЬ СТИРИЛХІНОЛІНВМІСНИХ МЕТАКРИЛОВИХ МОНОМЕРІВ

Анотація. Синтезовано нові стирилхінолінвмісні метакрилові мономери та їх кополімери з метилметакрилатом (ММА). Полімеризацію проводили в ДМФ, як ініціатор використовували АІБН. Продукти полімеризації охарактеризовано за допомогою 1H ЯМР. Реакційну здатність нових метакрилових мономерів на основі стирилхінолу для гомогенної вільно-радикальної кополімеризації з ММА визначено за даними 1H ЯМР спектроскопії та проведено її оцінювання за методом Файнмана-Росса і розрахунковим методом.
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